I RANSITING WARM JUPITERS

Solène Ulmer-Moll

PlanetS Monotransit Initiative Pls: Monika Lendl and Christoph Mordasini

Few transiting WJs detected

Increase the sample of longer period transiting giant exoplanets to understand their migrational history through occurence rate and eccentricity distribution and resonnances with planetary companions.



WJs have a wide range of eccentricities



Debras et al. 2021

Unclear formation pathways

Formation pathways for warm giant planets

- disk migration
- high eccentricity tidal migration
- in situ formation
- migration in a disk cavity



Unclear formation pathways

Formation pathways for warm giant planets

- disk migration
- high eccentricity tidal migration
- in situ formation
- migration in a disk cavity



Bulk composition are available for transiting WJs

Using transiting WJs to measure their mass and radius gives us insight on their bulk and atmosphere composition. WJs present the advantage that their radii is not inflated compared to HJs.



Thorngren et al. 2017

Jupiter and Saturn are metal-enriched

Warm Jupiters are the link between hot Jupiters and the cold giants of our solar systems. Abundance ratios were measured for various elements for the well studied giants Jupiter and Saturn



The solar system giants are enhanced above solar composition in metals, both in their visible atmospheres and bulk compositions.

Is the metal enrichment of gas giants correlated with that of their host stars ?

Atreya et al. 2016

Heavy element mass correlates with planet's mass

Studying 47 transiting WJs, Thorngren et al. showed that:

- heavy element mass correlates with planetary mass
- planet metal enrichment (Zplanet/Zstar) anti-correlates with planetary mass



Thorngren et al. 2017

PlanetS Monotransit initiative collaboration UGE & UBE

Detecting cool giant transiting planets orbiting bright stars, and interpreting their nature using planet formation and evolution models.

Combine the core expertises of UGE and UBE,

- planet detection and characterisation
- numerical modelling, fundamental processes governing planet formation

How can we explain the wide range of eccentricities of warm giants? How do warm giants form and migrate? How do giant planets accrete their heavy elements? Are the trends in planetary metal enrichement linked with stellar or orbital parameters?

>> TESS opportunity

WJs appear as TESS monotransits

TESS is covering the majority of sky by observing sectors during 27 days and we expect to find more than 1000 monotransits in the first two years of TESS data. Single transits in TESS data allow us to discover longer period transiting planets

2+ transits probability of observing 0.1 a single transit (solid) for the 27.4 day baseline $\eta_{
m tot}$ as compared to the 0.01 geometric transit probability (dotted). L'ansile 0.001 0.0001 10 100 1000 Villanueva et al. 2019 Ρ d

TESS re-observes the southern sky

TESS observes Sector 4. One single transit is detected TESS re-observes the same field two years later but no transit is seen in this second TESS light curve.



Four sources of candidates

TSTPC: TESS single transit planetary candidates

NGTS Monotransit Working group

Two pipelines tailored to find single transit events:

- extracting light curves from FFIs / SPOC

- detrending light curves

- BLS

- automatic vetting fit sinusoid vs boxcar model
- manual vetting asteroid vetting / centroid shifts

TOIs: TESS object of Interest

Aimed at periodic signals, but some single transits are reported

CTOIs: Community TOIs

Various independent pipelines, for exemple Planet Hunter candidates Some are specific to single transits.

TESS monotransits require vetting and follow up observations

Pipelines to extract light curves from full frame images and algorithm search for single transit event. Vetting of candidates to exclude eclipsing binaries, asteroid crossings, systematic artifacts.

Spectroscopic observations **CORALIE** is used to exclude spectroscopic binaries and obtain the planetary mass of the companion.

EULER Camera to target specific transit events.

Photometric observations **NGTS** has the capacity to detect transit event of a depth of 0.1%, thus TESS monotransits are targeted in order to find subsequent transits

CHEOPS duo and deep duo program





A subset of the detected planets



NGTS-20 b: transiting warm Jupiter on an eccentric orbit

Single transit in TESS Sector 4, no second transit observed by TESS **Blind survey** mode with NGTS: observe every possible night Second transit detected with NGTS



Alias chasing mode: observation when a period alias is observable

NGTS obtains a third transit which confirms the period

NGTS-20 b: transiting warm Jupiter on an eccentric orbit

CORALIE radial velocity detects a 54 day periodic signal 2.98 Mj planet with a radius of 1.07 Rj on an eccentric 0.432 (+-0.024) orbit with an orbital period of 54.19 days



Ulmer-Moll et al. (under review)

Vet and characterize with CORALIE

After one year of observations, we can sumarize the follow up of TESS planetary candidates in the different categories.

CORALIE time ~ 1.0 night / week

Detection: 9 targets (18 %)

Brown dwarfs: 2 targets

Planets: 7 targets

P=29.77 days K=5985.94 m/s ecc=0.37 b 6000 4000 RV [m/s] 2000 0 -2000 -4000 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 phase rms: 5.39 m/s 100 r [m/s] -10059320 59340 59360 59380 59400 59420 Time [BJD]

50 candidates spectroscopically vetted

Planetary candidates: 12 targets RV long term drift: 1 target

Promising: 13 targets (26 %)

Stopped: 3 targets (6 %)

RV precision > 100 m/s fast rotating host star

Unclear: 5 targets (10 %)

False positive: 20 targets (40 %) 7 Low mass eclipsing binaries

Eclipsing binaries: 13 targets

Upper limit on false positive rate: 50 %

Gill et al. 2022 TIC320687387 B: EBLM close to the hydrogen burning limit

HARPS time to detect lighter and longer period planets

25 candidates observed with HARPS

Detection: 10 targets (40 %)

TIC 124029677 / TÕI-5153: 3Mjup @ 20days TIC 257527578 / NGTS-20: 3Mjup @ 54 days TIC 466206508 : 1.4 Mjup @ 75 days TOI-2447: 0.4 Mjup @ 69 days

Promising: 9 targets (36 %)

. . .

TIC 139: 2.6Mjup @ 154 day + 78 day signal TIC 237: < 0.15 Mjup @ 25 days P107: 7.2 nights (Bouchy) P108: 7.9 nights (Ulmer-Moll)

Unclear: 5 targets (20 %)

False positive: 1 target (4 %) AO imaging revealed a nearby companion

Upper limit on false positive rate: 24 % but totaling only 13 % of the observing time

Shedding light on the dynamical architecture of warm Jupiters

TIC 61024636: test case

Massive warm Jupiter-sized planet on a highly eccentric orbit. Projected spin-orbit angle is equal to 25 (+- 6) degrees





Submitted ESPRESSO proposal to measure the spin-orbit angle of three transiting warm Jupiters discovered with the mono-transit working group.

Monitoring program over 3 semesters.

Periods: 69, 75, and 106 days Eccentricity ranging from 0 to 0.4

Conclusions

In one year of observations:

10 new warm giants detected 50% increase of the transiting warm Jupiters population

Parameter space: Period > 20 days Radius > 6 Re V mag < 12.5



Semester April to October 2022 (P109)

HARPS follow up continues with 5 nights allocated (PI: Ulmer-Moll) ESPRESSO observations of one multi-transiting planetary system (PI: Gill)

Study the bulk and atmospheric composition of these newly detected warm Jupiters. These objects will be key to understand how exoplanet atmospheres vary with equilibrium temperature, but also to look for rings and moons with further observations.

Extra slides

Heavy element mass correlates with planet's mass

Studying 47 transiting WJs, Thorngren et al. showed that:

- heavy element mass correlates with planetary mass
- planet metal enrichment (Zplanet/Zstar) anti-correlates with planetary mass



Thorngren et al. 2017

Planet metal-enrichment dependent on orbital properties?

Correlation between planet metal-enrichment and planetary mass still needs further investigation, in terms of



Its dependence on orbital properties of the planetary systems (Dalba et al. 2022)

Do giant planets accrete differently their heavy elements based on orbital period?

Planet metal-enrichment by elements

its dependence on detailed host star abundances (Teske et al. 2019)

the mass of a planet vs planet metal-enrichment relative to the parent star using each element considered as a proxy for total the metallicity



Same strong negative relationship observed in Thorngren+2016,

considering individual element

Planet metal-enrichment independent of stellar metallicity?

How the scatter in residual metal mass relates to the stellar metal abundances?



Planetary residual metallicity:

the relative amount of metal in a planet beyond (or below) what would be expected for its mass

absence of a clear correlation between stellar and planetary (residual) metallicity